arXiv:1408.6715v1 [math.CA] 23 Aug 2014

More convex functions by Artin's method

Martin Himmel

August 29, 2014

Abstract

We use Artin's paper on the Gamma function to find more log convex functions that interpolate a sequence of natural numbers given by a recursion equation.

1 Introduction

Let us recall some ideas and results of from Artin's famous paper on the Gamma function. Therefore, let a and b be real numbers with $a < b$ and $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ a function. For any $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, we define the difference quotient

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2) := \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = \varphi(x_2, x_1). \tag{1}$$

Then, for pairwise different $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in (a, b)$, the iterated difference quotient is defined by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \frac{\varphi(x_1, x_3) - \varphi(x_2, x_3)}{x_1 - x_3} \tag{2}$$

Exercise 1 *Show that $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ does not change sign under permutation of the arguments x_1, x_2 and x_3.*

Definition 1 (Convexity) *The function $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called convex if, for any fixed $x_3 \in (a, b)$, the difference quotient $\varphi(x_1, x_3)$ is a monotone increasing function of x_1, i. e. whenever $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$ with $x_1 < x_2$ we have*

$$\varphi(x_1, x_3) \leq \varphi(x_2, x_3). \tag{3}$$

Exercise 2 *Show that $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, if and only if, the iterated difference quotient Φ satisfies $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \geq 0$.*

Exercise 3 *Show that the sum $f + g$ of convex functions $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and the limit $\lim f_n$ of a sequence of convex functions $f_n : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex.*

Much is known about convex functions [2]. The following results are taken from Artin's paper on the Gamma function [1].

Theorem 1 (Rolle) *Let $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous whose one-sided derivatives $f(x + 0)$ and $f(x - 0)$ exists for $x \in (a, b)$. Moreover, assume that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then, there is a $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that*

$$f'(\xi + 0) \geq 0 \text{ and } f'(\xi - 0) \leq 0 \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2 (Mean Value) *Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with one-sided derivatives $f(x+0)$ and $f(x-0)$ exists for any $x \in (a, b)$. Then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that*

$$\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} \in (f'(\xi - 0), f'(\xi + 0)) \tag{5}$$

Theorem 3 (Characterization of Convexity) *$f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function if, and only if, f has monotonically increasing one-sided derivatives. If, in addition, f is twice differentiable, convexity of f is equivalent to $f'' \geq 0$ for $x \in (a, b)$.*

Definition 2 (Weak Convexity) *$f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called weakly convex if*

$$f(x_1 + x_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(x_1) + f(x_2)) \tag{6}$$

holds for all $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$.

Any weakly convex function is convex and the converse holds for continuous functions.

Theorem 4 (Weakly Convex plus Continuous implies Convex) *$f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function if, and only if, f is weakly convex and continuous.*

Exercise 4 *Let $f(x) := -\log(x)$ defined on the positive real numbers $\mathbb{R}_+$. Show that f is convex. How is inequality (6) called in this situation?*

Definition 3 (Log-Convexity) *$f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called log-convex[1] (weakly log-convex) if f is positive and $\log f$ is convex (weakly log-convex).*

The positivity assumption on f for log-convexity is, of course, a formal prerequisite because otherwise the logarithm of f cannot be formed. Any logarithmically convex function is convex since it is the composite of the increasing convex function exp and the function $\log f$, but the the converse generally does not hold.

Exercise 5 *Verify that the function $x \mapsto x^2$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ is convex, but not log-convex. On the other hand: given a convex function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, that is not log-convex. Can you find some fixed other function $l : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that l composed with g, which we call*

$$h(x) := l(g(x)),$$

is log-convex?
Hint: *Depending on your background you may call l (if you pick the best/simplest l) the most or the the second most important function in mathematics.*

As we have seen, convex functions form a vector space and so do log-convex functions, but since the product of log-convex functions is again log-convex, they even form an algebra.

Theorem 5 *Let $f, g : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be two log-convex (weakly log convex) functions. Then their sum $f + g$ and their product $f \cdot g$ is log-convex (weakly log convex). The same holds for sequences of log-convex functions, if the limit function is positive.*

[1] In literature you will also find logarithmically convex or superconvex for what we call here log-convex.

1.1 Log-convex Integrals

The previous results can be combined to the statement on log-convexity of integrals. Suppose $f : (a, b) \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, I some interval of $\mathbb{R}$, is a continuous function of the two variables x and t. Furthermore, for any fixed value of t, suppose that $f(t, \cdot)$ is log-convex, twice differentiable function of x. For any fixed integer n we can build the function

$$F_n(x) = h \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(a + kh, x) \tag{7}$$

with $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$. Being the sum of log-convex functions, F_n is also log-convex. As n approaches infinity, F_n converges to the integral

$$\int_a^b f(t, x)\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{8}$$

which hence is also log-convex. If $b = \infty$, the result also holds supposed the improper integral converges. Artin is mainly interested in integral representations of Euler's Gamma function and therefore considers integrals of the form

$$\int_a^b \varphi(t)t^{x-1}\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{9}$$

with $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ being a positive and continuous function for $t \in (a, b)$. Verify that $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}(\varphi(t)t^{x-1}) = 0$.

Sufficiently smooth log-convex functions are characterized in the next

Theorem 6 (Characterization of Log-Convexity) *Let $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable and without zeros. Then f is log-convex if, and only if,*

$$q(f) := \det \begin{pmatrix} f & f' \\ f' & f'' \end{pmatrix} = ff'' - (f')^2 \geq 0 \tag{10}$$

for all $x \in (a, b)$

Proof 1 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable and log-convex. Thus f'' exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the second derivative of* $\log f$ *is non-negative*

$$\begin{aligned} (\log f)'' &= \left(\frac{f'}{f}\right)' \\ &= \frac{f''}{f} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} = \frac{ff'' - (f')^2}{f^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \det \begin{pmatrix} f & f' \\ f' & f'' \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$f \geq \frac{(f')^2}{f''}.$$

Theorem 7 *Let $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be positive continuous function. Then*

$$\int_a^b \varphi(t)t^{x-1}\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{11}$$

is a log-convex function of x defined where the integral converges.

Theorem 8 *Let $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a log-convex function and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \neq 0$. Then the translated $f_t : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ and the scaled $f_s : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ version of f defined by $f_t(x) := f(x + c)$ and $f_s(x) := f(cx)$, respectively, are log-convex.*

With more sophisticated words one can express the latter theorem as: the space of log-convex functions is a common invariant subspace of the uniform shift and the uniform translation operator.

2 Euler‘s Gamma Function

By the famous Bohr-Mollerup theorem Euler‘s Gamma function can be characterized as the unique solution to the following interpolation problem.

Theorem 9 (Bohr Mollerup) *Any function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies*

1. *$f(1) = 1$,*
2. *$f(x + 1) = xf(x)$ for any $x > 0$,*
3. *f is log-convex,*

equals the Gamma function

$$\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^{x-1} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{12}$$

Because of the functional Equation in Theorem 9 (second condition) the Gamma function is well-known as an analytic continuation (from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$) of the sequence of factorials $n \mapsto n! = n \cdot (n-1)!$ with $1! := 1$.

Exercise 6 *Verify by partial integration that $\Gamma(n) = (n - 1)!$ for any natural number $n \geq 2$.*

Surprising is that log-convexity is the property that characterizes the Gamma function uniquely up to some normalization (first condition in Theorem 9) as the only function that agrees at the natural number n with $(n - 1)!$.

Exercise 7 *Verify that the Gamma function as defined in* (12) *satisfies the three properties from theorem* 9*.*

3 Artin Type Functional Equations

We take Theorem 9 as a starting point to constructively solve multiplicative Functional Equation of the form

$$f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x) \tag{13}$$

with $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ some continuous function. Many interesting functions satisfy a Artin functional equation (13) for some $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. For instance, if $g(x) = 1$ for all real numbers x, solutions f to (13) are 1-periodic functions. If g is the identity function on $\mathbb{R}$, then f interpolates the factorials since $f(x + 1) = xf(x)$. Under additional assumptions (for instance, if f is log-convex and $f(1) = 1$, see Bohr Mollerup theorem 9) we have $f = \Gamma$.

Definition 4 *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ any function and $a > 0$ and $f : (0, a) \to \mathbb{R}$ a solution to the functional equation* (13)*. Then we call f Artin function with representer g. A log-convex Artin function is called Bohr Mollerup function or function of Bohr Mollerup type.*

Exercise 8 *Find necessary and sufficient conditions on the representer g of an Artin function f such that f is of Bohr Mollerup type.*

Of course, the notion of Artin functions is merely a tautolygy because every function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ can be thought as an Artin function with representer $g(x) := \frac{f(x+1)}{f(x)}$. We want to explore whether there is some kind of analogue to the Bohr Mollerup theorem for functional equations of the form (13) when g is not the identity function. Therefore, we have to investigate when solutions to (13) are log-convex and satisfy some interpolation property $f(n) = a_n$ for some positive[2] sequence of real numbers $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In the case of the Gamma function we have $g(x) = x$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and $f(n) = a_n := (n-1)!$.

Theorem 10 (Bohr Mollerup Type Representation) *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive continuous function and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ a solution to functional equation* (13)*, which satisfies*

$$f(1) = g(\infty) := 1 \tag{14}$$

$$f(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k) \tag{15}$$

$$f \text{ is log-convex.} \tag{16}$$

Then $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g^x(n) \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{g(k)}{g(x+k)} \tag{17}$$

is the only solution to the Bohr Mollerup functional equation (13)*.*

Proof ***Step 1*** *Find a product formula for the solution $f : (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ to the Bohr Mollerup functional equation* (13) *by using the log-convexity* (16) *and the interpolation property* (15)*.*

Step 2 *Extend this solution to $\mathbb{R}$ by iterated application of* (13)*.*

Step 3 *Show that the product representation obtained in step* 1 *holds on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Step 2: *Suppose a log-convex solution $f : (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ to* (13) *is known. Then f can be extended to the interval $(0,n]$ by iterated application of the functional equation* (13)*:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+n) &= g(x+n-1)f(x+n-1) = g(x+n-1)g(x+n-2)f(x+n-2) \\ &= g(x+n-1)g(x+n-2)g(x+n-3)f(x+n-3) = \dots \\ &= g(x+n-1)g(x+n-2)g(x+n-3)\cdot\ldots\cdot g(x+2)g(x+1)g(x)f(x) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k)f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to extend f to include negative real numbers. Therefore, we solve the iterated functional equation $f(x+n) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k)f(x)$ for $f(x)$ and take the expression obtained as a definition of f for negative real numbers: if x lies in the interval $(-n,-n+1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the value of f to be

$$f(x) = f(x+n)\frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k)} \tag{18}$$

[2]The sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has to be positive because otherwise $\log f$ cannot be formed and there is no hope for log-convexity of f.

Step 1: *Now, let's use the log-convexity of f to find the exact value $f(x)$ for $0 < x \leq 1$. Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\frac{\log f(n-1) - \log f(n)}{(n-1) - n} \leq \frac{\log f(x+n) - \log f(n)}{(x+n) - n} \leq \frac{\log f(1+n) - \log f(n)}{(n+1) - n} \tag{19}$$

expresses the monotone increase of the difference quotients of $\log f$*. If we use the interpolation property* $f(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k)$*, equation* (19) *reads*

$$\log g(n-1) \leq \frac{\log f(x+n) - \log f(n)}{x} \leq \log g(n) \tag{20}$$

and hence

$$g^x(n-1) \leq \frac{f(x+n)}{f(n)} \leq g^x(n). \tag{21}$$

Multiplying by $f(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k)$ *and using the iterated functional equation* $f(x+n) = f(x) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k)$ *we obtain*

$$g^x(n-1) \leq f(x) \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k)} \leq g^x(n). \tag{22}$$

Setting $p_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g(k)}{g(x+k)}$ *with* $g(0) := 1$ *the latter equation reads*

$$p_n(x) \cdot g^x(n-1) \leq f(x) \leq p_n(x) \cdot g^x(n). \tag{23}$$

Since this holds for all $n \geq 2$*, we can replace* n *by* $n+1$ *on the left side. Thus*

$$p_{n+1}(x) \cdot g^x(n) \leq f(x) \leq p_n(x) \cdot g^x(n). \tag{24}$$

Now observe that f *is bounded from above and from below by the same function* $p_{n+1}(x) \cdot g^x(n)$ *up to the factor* $\frac{g(x+n)}{g(n)}$ *because* $p_n(x) = p_{n+1}(x) \frac{g(x+n)}{g(n)}$*. Hence* (24) *reads*

$$p_{n+1}(x) \cdot g^x(n) \leq f(x) \leq p_{n+1}(x) \cdot \frac{g(x+n)}{g(n)} \cdot g^x(n). \tag{25}$$

Multiplying through the second part of (25) *by* $\frac{g(n)}{g(x+n)}$ *and combining it with the first part of* (25) *gives*

$$f(x) \frac{g(n)}{g(x+n)} \leq p_{n+1}(x) \cdot g^x(n) \leq f(x). \tag{26}$$

Assuming $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(n)}{g(x+n)} = 1$ *gives the desired product representation of* f*:*

$$f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g^x(n) \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{g(k)}{g(x+k)} \tag{27}$$

on $(0, 1]$*.*

Step 3: *To see that representation* (27) *holds even for all*$x \in \mathbb{R}$*, define*

$$f_n(x) := g^x(n) \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{g(k)}{g(x+k)}$$

to be the expression in (27) *under the limit sign. Then we have*

$$f(x+1) = g(x)f_n(x) \cdot \frac{g(n)}{g(x+n+1)} \tag{28}$$

ans thus

$$f_n(x) = f(x+1)\frac{g(x+n+1)}{g(x)g(n)}.$$

We see: if the limit in (27) *exists for* x*, it also exists for* $x+1$ *and vice versa. Hence the product representation* (27) *is valid for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

Following the lines of Artin's paper [1], we proceed by deriving expressions for $\log f$ with f being a log-convex solution to the Bohr Mollerup Type functional equation (13). Assuming continuity of f in (27), we obtain

$$\log f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(x \log g(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\log g(k) - \log g(x+k)) \right) \tag{29}$$

Now we would like to differentiate twice under the limit sign to obtain conditions on g that guarantee the log-convexity of f. If the convergence in (29) is uniformly, we have

$$(\log f(x))'' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(g'(x+k))^2}{g^2(x+k)} - \frac{g''(x+k)}{g(x+k)} \right) \geq 0 \tag{30}$$

Remark 1 *For the Gamma function we have* $g(x) = x$ *and condition* (30) *reads*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^2} \geq 0. \tag{31}$$

We can use (30) to define an inner product.

Definition 5 *Let* $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be twice differentiable. Then*

$$a(f(x), g(x)) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \det \begin{pmatrix} (f'(x+k))^2 & g''(x+k) \\ g^{-2}(x+k) & f^{-2}(x+k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then log-convex solutions to the Artins functional equation (13) can be interpreted as functions that make the quadratic form $q(g) := a(g, g)$ positive.

Example 1 *Setting* $g = \mathrm{id}$ *you obtain the product representation of Euler's Gamma function. Because* $g(x) = 0$ *if, and only if,* $x = 0$*, the function* $g = \mathrm{id}$ *does not satisfy the positivity assumption, that we made.*

Exercise 9 (Artin functions with Artinian derivative) *The derivative* f' *of an Artin function* f *is called Artinian if* f' *again is an Artin function, i. e. from* f *satisfying* $f(x+1) = g_0(x)f(x)$ *for some analytic function* g_0 *follows that there is an analytic function* g_1 *such that* $f'(x+1) = g_1(x)f'(x)$*. Show that the* n*-th derivative of an Artin function* f *with representer* g_0 *is Artinian if*

$$g_n(x) = \frac{\left(g_{n-1}f^{(n-1)}\right)'}{f^{(n)}} \tag{32}$$

Example 2 *Let us consider a class of Artin functions with representer $g(x) = x^c$ with c some complex number. When are these Artin functions $f := f_g$ satisfying $f(x+1) = g(x)f(x)$ of Bohr Mollerup type? Condition* (30) *reads*

$$\begin{aligned}(\log f)'' &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c(x+k)^{2(c-1)}}{(x+k)^{2c}} - \frac{c(c-1)(x+k)^{c-2}}{(x+k)^c} \\ &= c(2-c)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(x+k)^{-1} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Artin function f is of Bohr Mollerup Type, if $\Re(c(2-c)) \geq 0$. Consequently, f is log-convex for all real numbers c.

4 Making functions log-convex

Assume $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable, but not log-convex function. How can we modify f such that the modified version of f is log-convex?

4.1 Inner multiplication problem

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable, but not log-convex function. In the inner multiplication problem we seek to find a twice differentiable function $m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that mf is log-convex. Any function such that mf is log-convex is called inner multiplicator of f. According to theorem 6 mf is log-convex if and only if, second derivative of $\log(mf)$ is non-negative. This is characterized in the next

Theorem 11 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable, but not log-convex function. Then, there is an inner multiplicator $m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of f if and only if,*

$$mf \cdot (mf)'' \geq ((mf)')^2. \tag{33}$$

4.2 Outer multiplication problem

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable, but not log-convex function. The outer multiplication problem consists of finding a twice differentiable function $m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \log f = \log(f^m)$ is convex. We use theorem 6 to characterize convexity of $\log(f^m)$ and obtain

Theorem 12 *Assume $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable, but not log-convex. Then an outer multiplier of f satisfies*

$$(m \log f)'' = m'' \log f + 2m' \frac{f'}{f} + m \underbrace{\left(\frac{f''}{f} - \left(\frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 \right)}_{(\log f)'' \ngeq 0} \geq 0. \tag{34}$$

Theorem 13 (Curvature of Artin functions) *Let f be a twice differentiable Artin function with representer g:*

$$f(x+1) = g(x)f(x).$$

Then the curvature of f is given by

$$\kappa_f = \frac{g''f + 2g'f' + gf''}{(1 + (g'f + gf')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

5 Fibonacci function

The goal of this section is to find a real-valued log-convex function that interpolates the Fibonacci numbers, which are given by the following linear second order recursion equation

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} \tag{35}$$

with initial values $a_0 = a_1 = 1$. The first Fibonacci numbers are $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 18$. For any linear recursion of depth two we can find a closed formula by making the ansatz $a_n = \lambda^n$. Here this ansatz leads to the quadratic equation $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 0$ with solution $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{5})$. Hence the n-th Fibonacci number can be calculated directly by

$$a_n = \frac{\varphi^n - (-1)^n \varphi^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{36}$$

with $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ the golden ratio. If we replace n in (36) by some real number x, we get an extension of the Fibonacci numbers

$$F(x) = \frac{\varphi^x - (-1)^x \varphi^{-x}}{\sqrt{5}}. \tag{37}$$

Since $(-1)^x = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi x} = \cos(\pi x) + \mathrm{i}\sin(\pi x)$, this extension is not always real-valued, more precisely $F(x)$ is a real number if and only if, x is an integer. We are interested in constructing a real-valued extension of the Fibonacci numbers. Therefore, we consider the real part of $F(x)$

$$f(x) := \Re F(x) = \frac{\varphi^x - \cos(\pi x)\varphi^{-x}}{\sqrt{5}}. \tag{38}$$

Let us take a closer look on the behavior of $f(x)$ at the integers. Since $\cos \pi n = (-1)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \sinh(n \ln \varphi), & n \text{ even} \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \cosh(n \ln \varphi), & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

Since the Fibonacci numbers form an increasing sequence of natural numbers, the real part of its canonical interpolation f is an Artin function with representer

$$g(x) := \frac{f(x+1)}{f(x)} = \frac{\varphi^{x+1} - \cos(\pi x)\varphi^{-(x+1)}}{\varphi^x - \cos(\pi x)\varphi^{-x}}. \tag{40}$$

Is $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, the real part of the canonical extension of the Fibonacci numbers to $\mathbb{R}$, log-convex? No. Due to the oscillating term $\cos(\pi x)$ the second derivative of f changes sign four times in the intervall $[0, 4]$.

References

[1] Emil Artin. The gamma function. *Athena Series*, 1964. Translated from the German by Michael Butler.

[2] Barry Simon. *Convexity: An Analytic Viewpoint*. Halsted Press (A division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-Toronto, Ont., 1973.